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## INTRODUCTION

- Goal: Boosting the performance of weakly-supervised object detectors (WSODs) with a few carefully selected fully-annotated images.
- Motivations:
$\triangleright$ WSODs require only image tags annotation for training.
- But achieve lower performances than fully- supervised object detectors.
$\triangleright$ We want to narrow the gap between weakly- and fully-supervised object detectors.
$\triangleright$ WSODs suffer some well-known confusions. Addressing them will make the detectors more effective.


## CONTRIBUTIONS

- We introduce a new approach to object detection that combines weakly-supervised and active learning.
- We introduce $\mathbf{B i B}$, an active selection strategy that is tailored to address the limitations of weakly-supervised object detectors.
- BiB demonstrates a better detection performance/annotation cost trade-off than both weakly- and fully-supervised object detection.
References: [6] Biffi et al., ECCV'20; [7] Bilen et al., CVPR'16; [24] Everingham et al:; [29] Gao et al., ICCV'19; [32] Girshick et al., ICCV' 15; [38] Huang et al., NeurlPS'20; [47] Lin et al., ECCV'14; [49] Pan et al., IJCA'19; [54] Ren et al., NeurlPS'15; [55] Ren et al., CVPR'20; [69] Tang et al., CVPR'17; ; 80$]$ Zeng et al., ICCV'19.
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## EXPERIMENTAL RESULTS

- Datasets: COCO2014 [47], VOC07 [24],
- Evaluation Metrics: Average precision (AP50 and AP).
- Comparison of active learning strategies
-BiB -loss -entropy-max -entropy-sum -b-random -u-random -core-set -core-set-ent


Results (AP50) on VOCO7 (left) and COCO (right) dataset

- Examples of improved detections:

- Comparison to the state of the art:

| Setting | Method | VOC07 | COCO |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | AP50 | AP50 | AP |
| Fully supervised | Fast RCNN [32] | 66.9 | 38.6 | 18.9 |
|  | Faster RCNN [54] | 69.9 | 41.5 | 21.2 |
| WSOD | WSDDN [7] | 34.8 | - |  |
|  | OICR [69] | 41.2 | - | - |
|  | C-MIDN [29] | 52.6 | 21.4 | 9.6 |
|  | WSOD2 [80] | 53.6 | 22.7 | 10.8 |
|  | MIST-CDB [55] | 54.9 | 24.3 | 11.4 |
|  | CASD [38] | 56.8 | 26.4 | 12.8 |
| Weak \& few strong (10-shot) | BCNet [49] | 57.1 |  |  |
|  | OAM [6] | 59.7 | 31.2 | 14.9 |
|  | Ours (u-rand) | 60.2 | 32.7 | 16.4 |
|  | Ours (BiB) | 62.9 | 34.1 | 17.2 |

- Ablation study on VOC07:

| DifS | K selection <br> im. reg. BiB | Number of images annotated |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 50 | 100 | 150 | 200 | 250 |
|  |  | $56.3 \pm 0.4$ | $58.0 \pm 0.5$ | $58.9 \pm 0.4$ | $60.0 \pm 0.3$ | $60.5 \pm 0.4$ |
| $\checkmark$ |  | $56.5 \pm 0.4$ | $58.4 \pm 0.4$ | $59.3 \pm 0.7$ | $60.2 \pm 0.4$ | $61.1 \pm 0.5$ |
| $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $57.1 \pm 0.4$ | $58.3 \pm 0.5$ | $59.3 \pm 0.6$ | $59.8 \pm 0.4$ | $60.3 \pm 0.4$ |
| $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $58.4 \pm 0.4$ | $60.2 \pm 0.4$ | $61.5 \pm 0.6$ | $62.6 \pm 0.4$ | $63.4 \pm 0.3$ |
|  | $\checkmark$ | $57.9 \pm 0.7$ | $60.1 \pm 0.4$ | $61.2 \pm 0.5$ | $62.1 \pm 0.5$ | $62.6 \pm 0.4$ |
| $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $58.5 \pm 0.8$ | $60.8 \pm 0.5$ | $61.9 \pm 0.4$ | $62.9 \pm 0.5$ | $63.5 \pm 0.4$ |

